

L.F 108 of 76

P35

G. R. S. IX-5]

*Previous references.*

1896-97

191 .

File No. 21

*Later references.*

(Municipal)

Serial Nos. 1-21.

## GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

---

Serial Nos. 1-21

*M*  
~~Part B~~ for April, 98

---

### SUBJECT.

Resumption of Sites in the Western Extension  
Bangalore City

---

Indexed  
*1/10*

*no*

Memo: in re: petitions of  
C. Srinivasadas and B. Narahari

**I. Ramachar** says he purchased

The receipt is an important document as also the Register relied upon in the Petition. The recent notice is said to show that the price fixed was Rs 24 & not 20 as otherwise important.

site No. 948 for 20 Rs, which he fully paid, holding a receipt for the same, and that the Presd<sup>t</sup> has resumed it on the ground that he still owed 5 Rs

President: says the site was for 24 Rs <sup>(not 20 Rs)</sup> of which Pet<sup>r</sup> paid 19 Rs in all. As he withheld the balance of 5 Rs, a notice of resumption was served on the 30<sup>th</sup> June 96, and the site taken away.

A Notice ought to have been issued after the Govt. passed the order of 22<sup>nd</sup> June 1895. This was not done.

This is a hard case —

The Petition, being based upon wrong facts, may therefore be dismissed; unless it is thought necessary to ask the Pet<sup>r</sup> to produce the receipt mentioned by him, and his sale certificate, before passing final orders.

**II. C. Srinivasadas**: purchased

sites No. 954 and 955 at 25 Rs each, a 50 Rs in all; paid 35 Rs, leaving a balance of 15 Rs. Requested the Vice-Presd<sup>t</sup> to remit this balance, as the sites was a costly one for building purposes owing to large boulders: while this application was still pending, and though Pet<sup>r</sup> has spent more than 500 Rs (with a loan from Govt) in preparing the sites and laying a foundation, the President, without preliminary notice of demand, resumed the site on 1<sup>st</sup> July 96, and refused to withdraw the same tho' Pet<sup>r</sup> tendered the balance due.

President. Pet<sup>r</sup> paid 35 Rs in two instalments, the second time after a notice of demand. As he still withheld the balance, site resumed. Nothing on record to show he applies for a remission

The Sec. argues that para 10(b) of Prop. of 27 June 96, authorizes the Dy Commr to resume <sup>mere</sup> sites, and not such as have buildings in course of erection on them. However this may be, the amount still outstanding being small, and as it is quite possible that Pet. may have only applied for a remission (though Mr. Leonard has not been able to find this from the records), it would probably be the juster course to give him the benefit of the doubt, and order the Dy Commr to take the money offered and cancel the resumption.

**III. B. Narahari Rao:** - bought sites Nos 947, 945 and 946, for Rs 75 in all; paid 66 Rs; the balance, Rs 9 only, being delayed owing to Pet's family circumstances. This was, however, tendered personally [date not mentioned], but was refused; and then a notice of resumption of 24 June 96 was issued, resuming site no 947. A money order remittance of Rs 9 was again returned.

**President:** Pet. paid full price for 2 sites, and 10 Rs towards the third. A notice of demand was issued on 22 June 95; then Pet. paid Rs 6, leaving 9 Rs still due. A notice of resumption was therefore served. Money refused, because tendered too late.

It cannot be believed that Pet. paid the balance previous to resumption. The balance being, however, small, and having been at all events tendered very soon thereafter, the concession prayed for may be granted: esp. as the sole object of these coercive proceedings is to recover arrears, which may now be recovered easily without hardship to any one.

The only notice referred to in the present report is of 22 June 195. After service of that notice no more were paid - as regards the balance of Rs 15, I remember now stating that he applied to the late Vice President for a remission. Is this a fact - Mr. S. Rao may be asked about it - moreover after the Order of 27 January 1955, no notice seems to have been issued as it should have been issued. This therefore is a case deserving of favorable consideration - C

Before returning the site, a notice should have been given after the Court order of 27 June 195. This was not done - C.

Yes in this and other cases the H. Commr acted as a half brained fool and has been misled by many parties. The fact cannot be left to the H. Commr to decide. The H. Commr must be left to decide as a rule. 1490 cont 11/11/97 M.

Substance

Result

I beg leave to submit that the Board had nothing <sup>to do with</sup> any of the several presumptions complained of. The extension was caused out by Govt from ~~provision~~ - ~~eat~~ funds. Under para 10 of the order of 27/6/95 the Dy Commr as the Agent of Govt was directed to do certain things one of which was to recover the arrears of sale proceeds of sites and credit the same to Govt. Discretion to resume on default was also given him by the same para. Mr Leonard proceeded to act under this para tho' it was the Dy Commr who should have done so. The Govt ratified his action and asked him to complete what he had begun. The present complaint is thus against what Mr Leonard did as

Filed to Mr. P.

~~Please see~~

All these 3 are hard cases - No action was taken after 27<sup>th</sup> June 1955 - The amounts due were small. Under the circumstances, & ~~in view of the fact~~ the President may be asked to reconsider his order of resumption and to restore the sites on immediate payment -

19-1-97

If the Comr acted carefully & his conduct thereon appeared as damaged thereby they are at liberty to break bonds & put in a suit for damages & to decide to continue

19/1/97

or Executive Officer

the agent of Govt, and  
not against any action  
of the Council Board or  
of its President. In  
their view, I submit  
that Govt has to in-  
terfere if it is of  
opinion that the per-  
ceptions were aban-  
doned and unjustifiable.  
Neither the sites nor the  
money belong to the  
Municipality - and no  
action against the  
Board is sustainable.

Q

20.7.97

nor is this strictly speaking  
a matter to be dealt with  
as a Municipal matter

Q

I entertain doubts on the  
point. Submit to them  
If, as pointed out by the  
Secy, this is not a  
Municipal matter & that  
Mr. Leacock is acting  
not in his capacity of  
President of the M.C., but  
as an officer acting for  
Govt under the special  
order of Govt, the Municipality  
nothing whatever to do with  
them matters. The complaints  
have been withdrawn as per the order

X To whom do these 7  
sites now belong -  
To Municipality or to Government -  
If so, for the first  
time of any, now  
proposed by the Govt  
or M.C. the Govt is  
responsible - I submit.  
under the law. (S)

Subd

20.11.97

If they are resumed, they  
have to be resumed, the proceeds

being executed to Gov

It also see para

The order of 27 6-1911-  
Till they become private  
property & the price is  
realised for the benefit  
of Govt, the titles continue  
to belong to Govt. The  
Municipalities have no right  
to the land or to the price  
realised by selling them.

In assuming the  
21-1-97  
title, Mr Leonard did  
not act <sup>in his capacity of</sup> President  
of the Municipality but  
as an officer charged by  
Govt with the execution  
of the Order contained in  
the para quoted above <sup>by</sup>  
other words, the duties of  
assigned to the Dy Commissioner  
to the Municipal President  
were performed by him as  
the agent of Govt -

6.2.52

(1)

I have written to Mr. W. Srinivasan  
to hand - Please  
note that in many of the cases  
of suspension there was much  
handship involved, and on  
one or two occasions I felt it  
= difficult to withdraw a special re-  
= quest to get - but on looking at  
the P.W. and finding that nothing  
to pay we had been if we had not  
attended to I regret that all  
that has been explained has been done  
to show consideration - Since I  
assumed that I have done

(3)  
are here to talk to you about  
some int. matters - If you send in  
a letter to my office <sup>today</sup>, I can come to  
you here thru my, or have been 7. or  
tomorrow morning between 7 to 10 AM.

Yr. truly  
T. T. Lawrence

(2)  
Send notice, some of which were  
attended to, while others are not.

I think, if you will examine the list  
if I have a list of all defaulting  
the lands I have resumed & state  
opposite each whether the same claim  
may be cancelled & on what grounds  
it would clear the way for all.

Many of the defaulters put  
into a plea that the land is sovereign  
(under the Board of) that the money  
cannot be levied on. I cannot allow  
such statements & yet they persist  
to pay. - If you will send me the  
names you allude to D. G. Smith  
with the matter to satisfaction.

I take opportunity to say that  
I should like to see you for help

Include BOTH Keweenaw  
for removal of  
the Keweenaw note

By 13/12/1997 - I  
am reacting to  
Mr Leonardasding  
Mum to Keweenaw  
these former Smith's  
cases of hard  
-ship in a letter

Yas Corgy monum.  
Please see his  
D.O. attached  
with the Keweenaw  
order thereon -

17-12-97  
16/12/97

Resumption of building  
in the Western Extension  
Bangalore City

The papers below are  
resubmitted to Secty  
I don't know if Mr  
Leonard <sup>reply</sup> ~~replied~~ to them  
has been received

YH  
31/1/98

Not yet received - Remind  
Munda for further action

Y

31-1-98

Re-Subd to Secty YH  
13/2/98

Where is the Leonard  
D O with the demand orders  
thereon, referred to in my  
note of 17<sup>th</sup> Dec 1977

15/2/98

It is not in the GLR

11th 24/2/98

Send 1000/-

24/2

1000/-

1000/-

1000/-

1000/-

1000/-

Please see the D.O. below  
of 6/2/1977

old  
28-2-98

I understand by Leonard  
to say that he had  
disposed of these cases  
& he would not make  
a point - If no  
report has been  
received, write &  
ask him to  
advise

2/3/98

D. O.

Office of the Municipal Commissioners  
City, Bangalore 2<sup>nd</sup> March 1898

My dear Mr. Srinivasan  
3/3/98

Long before the receipt of  
your D.O. of 13<sup>th</sup> Dec/97 an  
official letter (no. 289 of 7<sup>th</sup>  
June/97) was submitted to you  
containing a list of defaulters  
who have paid up their arrears  
on account of S.D. in Chamaraipura  
petn. By kind reference to that  
list you will find that the case  
of three of the Petitioners referred  
to in your D.O. are amicably settled.

Yours

The fourth petition viz. - the one  
 presented by Narahari Rao has  
 not been settled favourably to him  
 as he ~~persisted~~ <sup>failed</sup> in his refusal  
 to pay the arrears. His file (no. 94)  
 was therefore resumed. Today I  
 have sent an off report stating  
 how <sup>these of</sup> the remaining cases referred  
 to in the said list have been  
 since disposed of - I may state  
 here that of the four, two have  
 been amicably settled & the two re-  
 fers to the said Narahari Rao  
 whose file has been resumed.

I return the 4 Petitions with this.

I am  
 Very Sincerely,  
 T. T. [Signature]  
 177 97 3/198  
 22nd June 1908

Memo

In submitting 4 draft  
Endorsements as per Secy's orders  
of 8<sup>th</sup> Instant on L1888, it  
is submitted that Brancher  
complained to this office that  
he offered <sup>the</sup> payment of <sup>the</sup> balance(?) due by  
him but that the President  
refused to accept the same  
and attached his note.

The President admits  
having refused to accept  
<sup>payment,</sup>  
~~the same~~ as the same was  
tendered too late (Please see  
no 139 <sup>below</sup> of 15/12/96). In the  
statement received with his  
no 289 of 7/6/97 (SL 50 in L-7  
116-95) a remark appears  
to the effect that notice has  
been already served, but when  
it is not clear

May not therefore  
the President be <sup>now</sup> asked to  
give Petr further notice for  
30 days and to resume and  
resell the note in case of  
default?

Submitted with <sup>a</sup> draft on  
below the draft Endst.

mg  
12/31

Enquire whether  
 a further opportunity  
 was given to this  
 man in the same  
 way as the other  
 Peter, & whether  
 he failed 14/3/98  
 to avail  
 himself of it

The above order <sup>15/98</sup>  
 having been communicated to  
 the President, he states that  
 B. Karasimha Rao was  
 also given a further opportunity  
 to pay his arrears along with  
 other defaulters but he failed  
 to avail himself of it.

May Peter be informed  
 of this ~~proceed~~ same by an Encls  
 submitted with draft

Yr. Dept. 26/3/98  
 26/3/98 R. M. J.

The original draft  
 together with prepared  
 without giving details of  
 reports is now submitted  
 28/3/98

Register and send to  
L. B. Branch -

Id/ L A

10/10/96.

Unit -  
21 of 96  
1

Loge

1886

1429

Thangire Ramachandra  
residing in  
Siddikatta

Bangalore  
Bangalore

7 - 11 - 96

10 11 - 96

10 11 - 96

nil

States that he purchased  
site no. 948 for Rs 20/- in  
time of Mr. A. Sreenivasulu  
R. B., and paid up the full  
amount of purchase money  
obtaining a receipt therefor.  
That now the President of the  
Municipality has attached  
his house site on the ground  
that he had to pay the 5th year

Prays that the matter be  
enquired into at an early  
date and equitable order  
passed

10/10/96  
10/10/96

1429  
10/11/96

Karanji. Ramachar  
-7-11-96-  
-L. Vadi Raju-

1429  
297

one one anna Stamp 42

1429  
10/11/96  
Secretary to the Government  
of Mysore.  
Bangalore.

Sir,

I respectfully beg to state  
that the Site No 2948 was sold  
to me for Rupees 20/-, by Mr.  
A. Erecuniasa (Charles R. B.).  
Which amount I fully paid  
and hold receipt - Now the  
President has attached  
my site that I had to pay  
Rs 50/- I only purchased the  
Site Rs 20/- only your honor  
will be pleased to refer  
to Mr. A. Erecuniasa (Charles  
and a Register of the Muni-  
Office in which he clearly  
written and initialled -

For the above reasons  
I beg that your honor  
will be kind enough to  
enquire into my pitiable

case and issue orders  
favorably

I beg to remain  
Sir,

Your most Obedient Servant

— श्रीमन्महोदय —

Signed Karanje Ramphar  
residing Siddicadda  
Bangalore

7<sup>th</sup> Nov 1896.

Case and issue orders  
favorably

I beg to remain  
Sir,

Your Most Obedient Servant

॥ श्रीगणेशाय नमः ॥

Signed Karanji Ramphar  
residing Siddicudde  
Bangalore

7<sup>th</sup> Nov/1996

B. Karahari Rao

7-11-96

L. Vadi Raja

1430

2974

one one anna stamp affixed

1430  
10/11/96  
The Secretary to the  
Government of  
Mysore  
Bangalore

Sir,

I respectfully beg  
to bring the following  
circumstances of my  
Case for grant Kind Con-  
sideration:—

1. I purchased Site No =  
947 together with two other  
Sites Nos = 945 & 946 for Rupees  
75/- in the Western Excavation  
Bangalore City - and  
paid Rupees 66/- the  
balance of Rupees 9/-  
was delayed owing to  
my family circumstances  
and troubles.
2. The sum of Rupees 9/-  
was presented personally

by me but was refused  
and the notice no = 10 of 24/6/96.  
was given to the effect that  
Side no = 947 was taken to  
Government - Rupees 9/-  
was again remitted by  
Money order, the same  
was returned refusing  
to receive the same -

Here Sir, I respectfully  
beg to submit that the  
President's action in  
attaching the Side although  
the balance of Rs 9/- was  
resented to him -

Under the above cir-  
cumstances I beg that your  
honors will be pleased to  
consider favourably my  
Case and issue orders  
to the President to receive  
Rs 9/- and release my Side.

I beg to remain  
Sir  
Your most Obedient Servant  
Barakari Chow.  
Residing here

7-11-96.

Peta Branch -

Send to L.B. Branch.

(Inscribed) L.A.  
10/11/96

L.A. 172

A spare copy of Pro 10  $\frac{24999}{2.582-1}$   
25803 0, 27/6/95 is put up. Para 10 (b) of  
the Pro. authorized the L1 (over to the President)  
which were not paid for & it was  
less not apparently intended to include cases  
of the kind where a greater portion was  
paid and balance withheld and  
improvements made. May this be  
forwarded to the President for report on  
the allegations therein made, May 13/11/96

Yes; and especially as to  
whether, ~~as stated by the petition~~,  
the order for redemption of the site was  
passed by the President when the petition  
prayer for a remission of the balance  
of purchase money was still  
pending in his office -  $\frac{16}{11}$

Pro 10  
21 of 96  
No 3

2/11/96

2941

1996

(41)

No 1433

Name of Petitioner C Prinevasa Row

Village Bangalore

Town

District

Date of Receipt

Date of Receipt


Date of Receipt

No. of Enclosure

4.

AI-11 ACI

States that in 1895-  
he purchased 2 sites in the  
Western Extension, Bangalore  
for Rs 25 each and paid  
Rs 35/- towards their  
prayer for a remission of  
the balance on the promise  
that the land containing the site  
was very unsuitable for  
housebuilding purposes  
no notice was served  
upon him demanding the  
balance. The Municipal  
President sent him an order  
to the effect  
that his sites had been  
resumed & refused to receive  
the balance. Prays that the sites may  
be released & restored to him



10/14/33  
1433  
10/14/96  
The Secretary to the  
Government of Mysore  
in the General Department  
1433  
1433

Sir,

With profound respect & humble submission, I beg to submit the following circumstances for your benign consideration and favorable orders.

1. In 1895 I bought 2 heralding sites in the Bangalore Western Extension bearing Municipal Nos 954 and 955 for Rs 50, but the site costing Rs 25 and paid on two different occasions Rs 35 leaving a balance of Rs 15. Owing to the unsuitable condition of the sites for heralding purposes on account of several boulders or rocks that had stood on them I represented the facts to Mr. H. Srinivasa Rao the late Vice Prov.

and requested him to show me some  
conception by way of remitting the balance  
of Rs 15 on the above proceeds: The matter  
not having been disposed of, the small  
amount remained unpaid

2. With the help of the advance  
of my 6 months' pay<sup>\*\*\*</sup> I obtained from  
the Government supplemented by  
private funds, I spent about Rs 500  
in getting broken the boulders referred to  
above, and in laying out the foundation  
for the said house building advance  
is still being adjusted month by month.

3 I got on the 1<sup>st</sup> July 1896 from Mr  
Leonard a letter which goes to say  
that my sites have been resumed  
summarily for default of payment  
of Rs 15 in accordance with clause  
(c) para 10 of Government Pro

NO 24999 - 25503 Dtd the 27<sup>th</sup> June 1895  
L F 82 - 92

The orders conveyed in this Pro: were  
to the effect that the arrears due should  
be recovered as quickly as possible,  
and that the Deputy Commissioner

might in his discretion proceed to measure  
and resell the Sites, but did not  
evidently refer to such Sites whereon  
the buildings were in actual  
construction.

4. Notwithstanding my prior  
reproving the 'unsuited' condition of  
sites, I at once remitted \$15 with  
letter to Mr. Leonard asking him to  
receive the payment and pass a  
receipt thereon. Mr Leonard was  
not pleased to do so, and his <sup>(x)</sup> reply  
to the effect that his orders, resuming  
the Sites must hold good is hereto  
attached for your kind perusal.

(x) with other  
papers as per  
list

5. I had subsequently a personal  
interview with Mr Leonard, when  
I acquainted him with all the  
circumstances, and requested him to  
receive the payment, but he was not  
pleased to grant my prayer. He also  
told me that several other Sites over  
which foundations &c have been  
laid have also been resumed  
& that as I was one of them he would



## List of papers

1. No 13. from the President, City Municipal Office and my reply remitting \$ 15
2. Letter dated 3-7-96 to the group of the President.
3. The President's endorsement to 176.

for payment of this balance, Rs 15

16/10/3  
17/9/3

Recd 1-7-46

(1)

අප්පාදනාදියෙන් අප්පාදනාදියෙන්  
අප්පාදනාදියෙන් අප්පාදනාදියෙන් අප්පාදනාදියෙන්  
අප්පාදනාදියෙන් අප්පාදනාදියෙන් අප්පාදනාදියෙන්

අප්පාදනාදියෙන් අප්පාදනාදියෙන් අප්පාදනාදියෙන්

අප්පාදනාදියෙන් අප්පාදනාදියෙන් අප්පාදනාදියෙන්

අප්පාදනාදියෙන් අප්පාදනාදියෙන් අප්පාදනාදියෙන්

අප්පාදනාදියෙන් අප්පාදනාදියෙන් අප්පාදනාදියෙන්

අප්පාදනාදියෙන් අප්පාදනාදියෙන් අප්පාදනාදියෙන්

අප්පාදනාදියෙන් අප්පාදනාදියෙන් අප්පාදනාදියෙන්

ಮಂಗಳವಾರದಿಂದ ಶುಕ್ರವಾರದವರೆಗೆ  
 ಬೆಂಗಳೂರಿನಲ್ಲಿ. ಮುಖ್ಯ ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರಾದ  
 ಕೆ. ಎ. ರಾಜ್ ಕೃಷ್ಣರವರ ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷತೆಯಲ್ಲಿ  
 ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು ಮಹಾನಗರ ಪಾಲಿಕೆಯ  
 ಮಂಡಳಿ -

1896

T. T. J. ...  
 President

Municipal Commission  
 Bangalore  
 1896

To.

The President

Bangalore Municipal Office.

Sir,

I beg to submit the following  
circumstances for your kind consideration  
and favorable orders.

You are aware that I have  
purchased in the Bangalore Western  
Extension from Mr. H. Srinivasappa  
the Secretary to the Extension Committee  
sites Nos 954 and 955 for Rs 50 each  
Site Costing Rs 25

2. Out of Rs 50, I have paid  
on two occasions Rs 35, and the  
balance of only Rs 15 remained to be  
paid which I remitted to you through  
Mr. H. Raja Rao with a memo

3. To my surprise the memo  
returned to me and said that you  
were reluctant to receive the pay-  
ment, and on this asking him to

your own an endorsement to that  
effect you wanted him to go. I have  
got the money quite ready to be  
sent to your office

This application bears a stamp  
and I request you to favor me  
with an endorsement

He is to remain  
Sir,  
your most obedt. servt  
Chas. W. Reed

3 7. 96.

3

ನಂಜು 176

ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು ಶಿಶು ಮ್ಯುನಿಸಿಪಲ್ ಕಮಿಷನ್ ಆಫೀಸಿನಿಂದ.

ಇಂಡಾರ್ ಸಂ ಮೆಂಟಾ.

ಇದೇ ಮುಖಾಂತರ 5. III. 1896 ರಲ್ಲಿ ದಿವಾನ್ ಕೆಲವು ಸ್ಥಳಗಳನ್ನು ಒಂದು ಒಂದಾಗಿ ಮಾಡಿ  
 ಆ ಸ್ಥಳಗಳನ್ನು ಅಕ್ಕಿ-ಜೋಳ-ಮೆಣಸಿನ ತೋಟಗಳಾಗಿ ಮಾಡಿ 1954 + 955 ರಲ್ಲಿ ಸರ್ಕಾರಕ್ಕೆ  
 ನೀಡುವ ಸ್ವಲ್ಪ ಪ್ರಯತ್ನಗಳನ್ನು 1954 + 955 ರಲ್ಲಿ ಸರ್ಕಾರಕ್ಕೆ  
 \* ವಿಷಯಕುರಿತು ಬರದಂತೆ 3-7-1896 ನೇ

ಮರುಂಟು ಆರ್ಥಿಕ ಜೊತೆಯಿತ್ತು - ಅಂತಹ ಸ್ಥಳಗಳನ್ನು ಸರ್ಕಾರಕ್ಕೆ ಸೇರಿಸಿ  
 ಸರ್ಕಾರಕ್ಕೆ ಸೇರಿಸಿ ಸರ್ಕಾರಕ್ಕೆ ಸೇರಿಸಿ ಸರ್ಕಾರಕ್ಕೆ ಸೇರಿಸಿ  
 ಆ ಸರ್ಕಾರಕ್ಕೆ ಸೇರಿಸಿ ಸರ್ಕಾರಕ್ಕೆ ಸೇರಿಸಿ ಸರ್ಕಾರಕ್ಕೆ ಸೇರಿಸಿ  
 ಸರ್ಕಾರಕ್ಕೆ ಸೇರಿಸಿ ಸರ್ಕಾರಕ್ಕೆ ಸೇರಿಸಿ ಸರ್ಕಾರಕ್ಕೆ ಸೇರಿಸಿ

ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು  
 ತಾ. 30 ನೇ ಮಾರ್ಚ್ 1896  
 5-8-96

Handwritten signature

T. T. ...  
 President,  
 Municipal Commission,  
 Bangalore City.

ABSTRACT.

Peter bought a site <sup>no 871</sup> in the  
 Western section for Rs 25/- in  
 the time of Mr. Athinavasabharlu.  
 He outpaid Rs 10/- in  
 instalment and the rest Rs 15/-  
 begged to be excused for which he  
 had no reply. He spent a lot  
 in laying out the foundation  
 and improving the condition of  
 the site so as to fit it for building  
 a house thereon. The present  
 Municipal President issued to  
 him a notice that his site  
 has been resumed & that since  
 the balance of Rs 10/- was left  
 unpaid, without giving him  
 previous intimation of ~~that~~  
 his intention.

Pray that the Government

may be pleased to have  
orders to the Municipal  
President to give back  
his land to his possession  
& receive the balance  
of Rs 10/- he owes to the  
Municipality

B.L.

14/11/25

Annaji Kanashuni  
7-11-96  
Vadi Rupa

1436

973

one one anna stamp affixed in

To

The Secretary to the Government  
of Mysore.

Bangalore

1436 R-  
10 11-96

Sir,

I respectfully beg to Submit  
the following circumstances  
for your Kind Consideration.

1. I obtained in the Bangalore  
Western Extension a building  
Site, Municipal No = 871 for  
Rupees 25/- and paid on two  
occasions Rupees 15/- and  
asked Mr. A. Erennasa (charter  
R.B. the Late Vice President <sup>with</sup>  
in writing and orally for  
a Kind remission of the  
balance of Rupees 10/- on the  
ground that the Site was  
almost inconveniently  
situated, and was otherwise  
not quite suitable for building  
a house, and therefore the  
balance remained unpaid

Unpaid pending the settlement  
of my request.

On receipt of the letter  
from the President i.e. Mr. Leonard  
that my site has been re-  
-cused for default of the  
payment of the balance,  
Rupees 10/- which Mr. Leonard  
refused on the ground that  
his order must hold good.  
I beg leave to add here that  
his notice was quite surprising  
to me in as much as it was  
quite first of the kind, and  
that no previous intimation  
of a like intention had  
been given to me, other-  
-wise the amount would  
have been paid long ago.

3. I have now spent heavy  
sum in laying out the  
foundation, & in bringing  
the ground to a fit state  
for building a house.

4. A few days ago, I had

had a personal interview  
with Mr. Leonard, & told  
him all about my griev-  
-ances but he said his  
orders were peremptory &  
must hold good.

5. Under the above circum-  
-stances, I respectfully  
beg that you will be  
pleased to favourably  
consider my case and  
instruct the President  
to receive the 10/- from  
me and release my  
Bite.

I beg to remain  
Sir

Your most Obedient  
Servant

~~— J. M. D. S. M.~~

[Signed] Annaji Karasima  
Revenue Surveyor  
residing in Mt. Rungat Road  
house Siddicuttu  
Bangalore City

7/4/96

1/7/96

ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು ಮ್ಯೂನಿಸಿಪಲ್ ಆರ್ಟಿಕ್ಯಾನ್

ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು ಮುನ್ಸಿಪಲ್ ಕೌನ್ಸಿಲ್ ನಡುವೆ  
ಯಾವ ————— ಕೊಡುವುದಿಲ್ಲ


87, ನೇ ನಂಬರ್ ಪ್ರಾಜೆಕ್ಟ್ - ಹೃದಯ  
2 ಮುಂದುವರಿಸುವ ಸ್ಥಳ ತಲುಪುವಂತೆ

ವಿವರಿಸುವಂತೆ ಮಾಡುವುದು ಅಥವಾ

ನಿವೃತ್ತಿ ತಗಲಿಸಿ ಕೆ-ಯಂಕೆ ಬಿ-ಮುಂಬರುವಂತೆ  
ಕೆ-ಯಂಕೆ ಬಿ-ಮುಂಬರುವಂತೆ

27-6-96



  
President-  
City Municipal Commission  
Bangalore

Section Mund  
File No 21 of 96  
No. 5

No. 5574 *in: are P.P. with its 4 encls.*  
1-272-93  
MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE,  
Local Board  
PETITION DEPARTMENT.

*883*

*No 5-574 2 16/11/96*  
*1-108-96*  
*in: are P.P. with its four encls.*

OFFICIAL MEMORANDUM.

The accompanying Petition No. 1433  
dated 7 Nov. 1896 received at the  
Dewan's Office from C. Srinivasa  
Rao stating that the Pre  
sident; Bangalore City Municipal  
Committee resumed the two sites pre  
viously leased by him in the Western  
extension  
is forwarded, together with its 4  
enclosures, to the President; Ban  
galore City Municipal Comm.  
for report on the several  
allegations therein made  
especially as to whether the  
order of resumption of the sites  
was forced by the President  
when the petro prayer for a  
remission of the balance of purchase  
money was still pending  
in his office

BANGALORE,  
16/11/1896  
Chief Secretary  
13/1

|         |          |
|---------|----------|
| Section | Orml.    |
| No.     | 21 of 95 |
| Vo.     | 6        |

No. 5721 *in case Petition*  
LT 108-96  
 MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE,  
 PETITION DEPARTMENT,

*3*

*7*

*RL 909*

# OFFICIAL MEMORANDUM.

The accompanying Petition No. 1429  
2972  
 dated 7<sup>th</sup> Chow 1896 received at the  
 Dewan's Office from *Kavagiri Ramappa*  
 complaining that the site No 9483,  
 purchased by him in the  
 western extension of Bangalore  
 was resumed by the President  
 on the ground that <sup>abandoned</sup> ~~it is~~ <sup>still</sup> ~~is~~ as ~~dece~~  
~~thereon~~ through the full price thereof  
~~was Rs 20 had been paid by him & receipt~~  
 is forwarded, together with its  
 enclosures, to the President of the  
 Bangalore City Municipality  
 for an early report as to  
 why the site was ~~resu~~  
 resumed, though its value  
 had been paid, by him  
 and receipt obtained therefor

*obtained*

By order,

BANGALORE,  
 The 19/11/1896

*17/11/96*  
*For Chief Secretary.*  
*17/11*

Section Drum  
 No. 21 of 93  
 Vol. No. 7

No. 5722 *was one Petition*  
27108-96 *one week!*  
 MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE,  
 PETITION DEPARTMENT. 86

OFFICIAL MEMORANDUM.

*R L 960*

The accompanying Petition No. 1436  
2973  
 dated 7<sup>th</sup> November 1896 received at the  
 Dewan's Office from Annaji Karasema,  
 complaining that the site No 87,  
 purchased by him for Rs 25, of  
 which he paid Rs 15, ~~and applied for~~  
~~unimproved~~ <sup>unimproved</sup> ~~the~~  
~~balance of Rs 10 which he begged~~  
~~to be remitted~~, was resumed by  
 the President  
 is forwarded, together with its  
 enclosure, to the President of the  
 Bangalore City Municipality  
 for report on the allegations  
 therein made especially as to  
 whether the order for resumption  
 was passed by him when the  
 Petn prayer for remission of  
 the balance of purchase money  
 was still pending <sup>undisposed of</sup> in his office.

By order,

BANGALORE, *17/11/96*  
 The *19/11/1896* *For Chief Secretary.* *29/11/11*

Petition No. 21 of 96  
 S. 8

No. 5723 Time Petition  
27-10-96  
 MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE,  
 PETITION DEPARTMENT.  
 OFFICIAL MEMORANDUM.

(9)

R 2911.

The accompanying Petition No. 1430  
2974

Dated 7<sup>th</sup> Nov 1896 received at the

Dewan's Office from B. Karaham Row

Complaining against  
 the assumption of his  
 site No. 947 in the Western  
 Extension though he  
 tendered the balance  
 due

stating that he was the purchaser of site  
 Nos. 946 & 947 in the Western extension  
 for Rs 75. paid Rs 66 and delayed payment  
 of the balance owing to his domestic  
 troubles and that when payment was  
 tendered personally, it was not accepted  
 and site No 947 was resumed  
 and site No 946, together with the

enclosures, to the President of the  
 Bangalore City Municipality for  
 report on the allegations therein  
 made

By Order

*[Signature]*

For Chief Secretary

*[Signature]* 17/11/96


BANGALORE  
 19/11/1896

when Mr Coopposawmy cradooi  
request for a measurement of the  
to enable him to ascertain the balance of the  
etc. was still pending disposal  
into Presidents of the

20 - 16  
25/11/96 -

submitted on 24/11

7000  
21 of 96  
9



L 050

From the Dy Commissioner,  
Bangalore District.

To the Secretary to the Govt.  
of Mysore  
Genl & Rev. Departments.

Dated 21<sup>st</sup> November 1896.

Despatched Do

Received

General No.  
No. 516

Enclosure No. 4

Has the honor to  
forward the appeal dated  
17<sup>th</sup> Instant of the 2<sup>nd</sup> General  
Assistant Commissioner Mr  
B. Coopposawmy Naidu against  
the decision of the President  
of the City Municipality  
resuming a Bangalore site  
granted to him in the Western  
Extension, Bangalore city.

W. Narasimayya  
Dy Commissioner.

24  
25.11.96

May this also be forwarded to  
the President for a detailed report  
as to how the site was resumed.

Read:

11

Encl  
21 of 96  
10

R/L 10/11

6237-8 838/11/96  
108-96  
w:4 to President

Dkt. No 516 of 21<sup>st</sup> Nov 96  
from the Dy Commr. Banga  
lore D. Submitting the appeal  
dated 17<sup>th</sup> idem of Mr. B.  
Coopposami Naidu,  
against the decision of the  
President of the Bangalore  
City Mundry recommending a  
Bangalore site granted  
to him in the Wardena Exten  
sion, Bangalore City

Order Thereon

to be obliquely returned

The enclosures of  
the <sup>dictat</sup> letter read above will  
be forwarded in original  
to the Pres. Bangalore City Mundry  
for an early & detailed  
report as to why the site  
was refused, where Mr. Co  
posami Naidu's request  
for a measurement of the  
site, to enable him to ascertain  
the balance still due, was  
still pending disposal in  
the President's Office

To the President  
Bangalore City Mundry  
Dy Commr, Bangalore

26/11/96  
In Secret  
25/11

Section Muml.  
 No 21 of 96  
 Serial No 11

1896  
 5722  
 4226  
 From  
 The President,  
 Bangalore City Municipality.

The Secretary to the  
 Government of Mysore  
 Dated 15<sup>th</sup> Dec. & Rev. Depts.  
 Despatched Bangalore  
 Received December 1896  
 General No.  
 No. 138

Enclosure No. Five

Reporting upon the  
 petition of C. Srinivasa Rao re resump-  
 -tion of sites Nos 954  
 and 955 granted to  
 him in the Western  
 Extension

x 6 President's order

APB.  
 16/12/96

Submitted with referees.  
 Please see office note on  
 L 1228

Dy 18/12

No. 138  
Ln: Five

OFFICE OF THE MUNICIPAL COMMISSION,  
City Bangalore, 15<sup>th</sup> December 1896

From

The President, Municipal Commission,  
Bangalore City.

To

The Secretary to the  
Government of Mysore.  
General & Revenue Departments  
Bangalore

SIR,

Adverting to your Official Memo.  
- random no.  $\frac{554}{27272-93}$  of 16<sup>th</sup> ultimo,  
encl 926  
in 108-2

I have the honor to state that the  
petitioner, C Srinivasa Rao therein  
referred to, purchased two sites  
viz. <sup>no:</sup> 954 & 955 in the Western Extension  
at Rs 25/- each on the 27<sup>th</sup> Dec<sup>r</sup> 1894.  
He paid Rs 15/- on the 16<sup>th</sup> January  
1895. A notice had to be served on  
him on the 22<sup>nd</sup> June '95 for payment  
of the balance of Rs 35/-. He paid  
only Rs 20/- out of this on the 24<sup>th</sup>  
idem and failed to pay the fur-  
-ther balance of Rs 15/- for a long time.

A notice dated 24<sup>th</sup> June '96 re-  
 suming the sites was therefore  
 served upon him and thereupon  
 he tendered payment of the  
 amount which was refused. He  
 was also given an endorsement  
 No 146 of 30<sup>th</sup> July '96 to the effect  
 that as he failed to pay the balance  
 of purchase money in time,  
 the notice of resumption served  
 on him was proper. There is nothing  
 on record to support his statement  
 that he applied for remission  
 of the balance of Rs 15/- for default  
 in payment of which his sites  
 were resumed.

\* agreeably  
 to para 10(b)  
 of Govt. Order No  
 24999-25203 of  
 27.8.92  
 27<sup>th</sup> June 1957

2 The enclosures to your memo-  
 randum under reply are here-  
 with returned.

I have the honor to be  
 Sir  
 Your most Obedt. Servant



T. T. J. J. J.  
 SECRETARY TO THE COMMISSION

Encl.

1897-98

(13)

1896

5123

From

The President,

Bangalore City Municipality.

L1227

|          |
|----------|
| Munb.    |
| 21 of 96 |
| 12       |

To the same No 11609 of 17/3/98

The Secretary to the  
Government of Mysore

Dated 2nd & Rev. Sept.

Despatched 15<sup>th</sup> Bangalore

Received December 1896

General No.

No. 139

Enclosure No. Two

Referring upon the  
petition of B Nara-  
hari Rao re resump-  
tion of site No 947  
franked to him in  
the Western Extension  
x to Pres. & a l. D.

RBB

16/12/96

submitted with referees.  
Please see office note on  
L 1228

Dng 18/12/96

No. 139  
En: Two

OFFICE OF THE MUNICIPAL COMMISSION,  
City Bangalore, 15<sup>th</sup> December 1896

From

The President, Municipal Commission,  
Bangalore City.

To

The Secretary to the  
Government of Mysore  
General & Revenue Departments  
Bangalore.

SIR,

but Reg

Adverting to your official  
Memorandum No 5723 dated  
17.10.96, I have the honor to  
state that the petitioner B. Nara-  
hari Rao therein referred to, pur-  
chased three sites viz, Nos 945,  
946 and 947, in the Western  
Extension on the 5<sup>th</sup> December '94.

He paid the full purchase  
money for two of the sites and  
only Rs 10/- on the 11<sup>th</sup> December 1894  
towards the third, viz No 947.

A notice of 22<sup>nd</sup> June '95 was served

as not elec.  
other Rs 10/- paid  
by tenant's att  
three sites and  
he paid Rs 10/-  
the amount should  
be paid to the  
Municipal Com-  
mission. The

an him for payment of the  
 balance of Rs 15/. He only paid  
 Rs 6/ on the 3<sup>rd</sup> July '95 and failed  
 to pay the amount of Rs 9/. Still  
 due from him at notice of re-  
 sumption was therefore served  
 upon him on the 29<sup>th</sup> June '96  
 & no money was tendered too  
 late it had to be refused.

it appears to  
 para 106-1 of Govt.  
 Pro. no: 24999-25002  
 27-82-92  
 of 27<sup>th</sup> June 1895.

2 The enclosures to your Official  
 Memorandum under reply are  
 herewith returned.

I serial 1101  
 does not  
 appear that a notice  
 was served on him  
 when he was by pay up  
 to amount due  
 on him a specified  
 time.

I have the honor to be  
 Sir  
 Your most obt. servant

  
 T. J. Srinivas  
 BANGALORE CITY

5670

(14)

1896

5124

From

The President, L1228

Bangalore City Municipality.

The Secretary to the  
Government of Mysore

Dated. Genl & Rev: Depts

Despatched 15<sup>th</sup> Bangalore

Received

General No.

No. 740

Enclosure No. one

Reporting upon the  
petition of Karanji  
Ramanahar re resump-  
tion of site no 948  
granted to him in  
the Western Extension

X To the Secretary to the Government of Mysore

L1888

16/12/96

Submitted for orders  
with references. 10(b) of Para 10 of  
Pro of 27/6/95 (several cases) confers  
power of resumption of sites of defaulters  
on the Dy Commr Dtg 18/12/96

Mund

21 of 96

13

No. 140  
En: one

OFFICE OF THE MUNICIPAL COMMISSION,  
City Bangalore, 15<sup>th</sup> December 1896

From

The President, Municipal Commission,  
Bangalore City.

To

The Secretary to the  
Government of Mysore  
General & Revenue Department.  
Bangalore

Sir,

Serial key

With reference to your Official  
Memorandum No 5421 of 19<sup>th</sup> ultimo  
2.7.108-96

I have the honor to state that the  
petitioner Karanji Ramnagar therein  
referred to was granted site No 948  
in the Western Extension on the  
5<sup>th</sup> December '94. He paid Rs 14/- on  
the 11<sup>th</sup> idem towards part payment  
of the purchase money, and after  
notice of 22<sup>nd</sup> June '95 was served on  
him for payment of the balance  
of Rs 14/- he paid only Rs 9/- on the  
11<sup>th</sup> March '96 and with-held Rs 5/-

also to para  
of Govt. Order:  
1999-25003 of  
28-92  
June 1895.

Invited notice on  
File


A notice of resumption was

therefore served on him on the  
30<sup>th</sup> June '96. He thereupon presented  
a petition dated 7<sup>th</sup> July '96 re-  
-presenting that the site in question  
was granted to him for Rs 20/- and  
was informed in reply by an  
endorsement No 174 of 5<sup>th</sup> August '96  
stating that the records in the  
Office did not bear out his state-  
ment and that there was no  
reason to cancel the resumption  
notice.

2 The enclosure to your memo-  
randum under reply is herewith  
returned.

I have the honor to be  
Sir  
Your most obt. servant

W.H.

  
PRESIDENT, MUNICIPAL COMMISSION,  
BANGALORE, CITY.

Section Mml.  
 No. 21 of 96  
 Vol No. 187

108-16  
 1897  
 OFFICE OF THE  
 5599  
 5-1-97  
 L347  
 W. CO. R. E.

From

The President,

Bangalore City Municipality.

The Secretary to the  
 Government of Mysore

Dated

19th Dec: 1896

Despatched

4 January 1897

Received

General No.

No. 156

Enclosure No. Two

Subject

Reporting upon the petition  
 of Annaji Karasimha  
 who complained against  
 the resumption of site No.  
 871, in Chamarajendra  
 pett, purchased by him

X Sent to Secy  
 2/12

108-16  
 5-1-97

Submitted The papers  
 are stated to have been  
 submitted to Secy  
 8/1/97

No. 156  
En: Loo

OFFICE OF THE MUNICIPAL COMMISSION,  
City Bangalore,

~~December 1896~~  
4<sup>th</sup> January 1897

From

The President, Municipal Commission,  
Bangalore City.

To

The Secretary to the  
Government of Mysore  
General & Rev. Departments  
Bangalore

Sir,

With reference to your official memo-  
-randum No. 5722<sup>1</sup><sub>L.F. 108-96</sub> dated 19<sup>th</sup> Ultimo, I  
have the honor to state that site no: 841  
was purchased by the petitioner, Anaji  
Narasimma, in Channarajeedrapet for  
Rs. 25/- on the 24<sup>th</sup> November 1894. He paid  
Rs. 5/- on the 6<sup>th</sup> December 1894 and with-  
-held the balance. On the 5<sup>th</sup> April 1895  
he was served with a notice for payment  
of the balance, viz: Rs. 20/- within 3 days,  
and was informed that on failure the  
site would be resumed. He then paid  
Rs. 5/- on the 5<sup>th</sup> September 1895, and Rs. 5/-  
on the 2<sup>nd</sup> December 1895 and failed to pay

the balance. After my assuming charge of the Municipality, I caused notices to be served on defaulters as per instructions conveyed in Government Proceedings No 24999-25003 dated 27<sup>th</sup> June 1895. The L 7-82-92

petitioner knowing that notice for resuming the sites was ready to be served on him, tendered the amount on 26<sup>th</sup> June 1896 which was rejected, Vide Endorsement No 753 dated 27<sup>th</sup> June 1896.

The notice was duly served on him on 30<sup>th</sup> June 1896. He also remitted the money through a money order which was also refused. He then petitioned on 3<sup>rd</sup> August 1896, alleging that he had requested the Vice President for remission of the balance, viz: Rs. 10/- as the site granted to him was full of pits and unsuited for building purposes and awaited favorable order and that he therefore delayed the payment of the balance. But no record is forthcoming to prove his statement. Not only this, he also made no mention of these facts while

while he tendered payment of the balance of Rs. 10/- with his petition dated 26<sup>th</sup> June 1896. He was therefore informed by an endorsement No. 316 dated 23<sup>rd</sup> Dec 1896 that as the full purchase money was not paid in time, the resumption of the site was confirmed.

2. The enclosures to your memorandum under reply are herewith returned.

I have the honor to be  
Sir

Your most obed<sup>t</sup> & Servant

*Th. F. ...*

PRESIDENT, MUNICIPAL COMMISSION,

BANGALORE, CITY.

M.L.

Record this -

Supra delus  
accy - 4

8/3/98

M. Mondong 8/3/98

Drafts put up

at  
9.3.98

|          |
|----------|
| Mum.     |
| 21 of 96 |
| 15       |

1897-98

7592

4/3/98

From

To P. J. J.

Bargal, City Municipality.

To

The Secretary to the

Government of Mysore,

General and Revenue Department,

Bangalore.

Dated 2<sup>nd</sup>

Despatched

Received

General No.

No.

March/98

Enclosure No.

Submits that two of the Defaulters referred to in this office Letter No 289 of 7<sup>th</sup> June 97 viz. Nos 5 Harasingappa and No 7 Appajappa have since paid the arrears due by them and that the site granted to the third has been resumed.

L-7

4.5.98

has not paid the arrears. Submitted. Only one of them  
on 4

No. 177

OFFICE OF THE MUNICIPAL COMMISSION,  
City Bangalore, 22<sup>nd</sup> March 1898

From

The President, Municipal Commission,

Bangalore City.

To

The Secretary to the Government of Mysore,

General and Revenue Departments,

Bangalore.

SIR,


Sl 55

In continuation of this office letter  
No 289 dated 7<sup>th</sup> June 1897 I have the  
honor to state that of the three defaulters  
mentioned in the list therewith submitted  
as not having then paid the arrears  
due by them, two viz. No: 5 - Harasinga  
Row and No. 7 Appazasipa have since  
paid and the third viz, B. Karahari  
Row not having paid his arrears, the  
Site (No 947) granted to him is resumed

I have the honor to be


Sir

your Most Obedient Servant



PRESIDENT, MUNICIPAL COMMISSION,

BANGALORE, CITY.



No. 11554  
L. F. 108 96

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE,  
LOCAL BOARDS BRANCH.

The 16/3/1898

No. 1945

ENDORSEMENT granted to

Annafee Gara  
Simha (Rev. Suraya Munshi residing in Mr.  
Ranga Rao's house Siddiatta, Bangalore City.  
in reply to his Petition Registered No. 1436 dated 10 Nov 96  
2973

Petitioner is informed that the President, Bangalore  
City Mty has reported that the amount due  
by him on site No 871 in the Western Sq.  
division has been collected

|          |
|----------|
| Mail     |
| 21 of 96 |
| No 16    |

1946.

By order,

No 11555 of 16/3/98.  
L. F. 108-96

Menu

Copies forwarded to the President Secretary.  
of the Bangalore City Mty with reference to the  
Nov 186 of 4/1/97 and 289 of 7/6/97

B. O.  
16 3 98

No. 115-60  
L. F. 108-96

CP --- Mund  
NO --- 21 of 96  
MAY NO 17 MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE,  
LOCAL BOARDS BRANCH.

19/11/48

The

16/3/1898

ENDORSEMENT granted to Harangi  
residing in Siddhacatta, Bangalore

in reply to

he;

Petition Registered No.

11,29  
2772

dated 10 Nov 96

2

Petitioner is informed that the President, Bangalore  
City Mty has reported that the arrears due by  
him, on account of site No 94, 8 in the Western extension  
has been collected

19/11/48

By order,

Memo to 115-61  
L. F. 108-96 16/3/98

14/3/98

Secretary.

10/3/98

(258 m 2711.95)

Copy to President, Bangalore City

Mty with fee for 10/140 of 15/12/96

284 of 7/6/97 and 177 of 2/3/98.

Secretary

No. 11562  
L. F. / 08-96

21 of 96  
No 18

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE,  
LOCAL BOARDS BRANCH.

The 16/3/1898

21.447

ENDORSEMENT granted to C. S. Srinivasa Rao

in reply to Ld Petition Registered No. 1435 dated 10 Mar 96

Petitioner is informed that the President. Bangalore  
Inty has reported that the arrears due by him  
on <sup>acc't of</sup> Nos 954 + 955 in the Western Ex.  
station have been collected.

(Q) 11562

By order,

he 11563  
L. F. / 08-96 21/6/98

11/13/98

Secretary. 21/12/3

Memo Copy forwarded to the President  
of the Bangalore City with reference to Nos 138 and  
287 of 15 Dec 1897 and 7 June 1897 respectively

*The Secretary to the Govt. of Mysore,  
General and Revenue Depts.*

The President of the Bangalore  
City Ind

Despatched

General No

*Enclosure No.*

1395 ✓ 15 Dec 1896  
 His letter No. 177 of 2<sup>nd</sup> March 1898  
 stating that B. Anshu Rao  
 not having paid his arrears,  
 the site (No 947) granted  
 in the Western Extension, Bangalore  
 to him ~~has~~ <sup>has been</sup> resumed.

With reference to the above  
has the honor to enquire  
whether a further 'oppor-  
-tunity was given to <sup>Naval</sup> ~~have~~

in the same way as to the  
other individuals who purchased sites but  
failed to make <sup>payment</sup> ~~of the~~ <sup>the</sup> ~~same~~ <sup>for</sup> them & whether  
made default or not.

he failed to avail himself  
of it. 11 Bon O. 11

1573 198

Ed. Penabaz

22007

8282  
24/3/98 139 7 98

|              |
|--------------|
| Mund         |
| No. 21 of 96 |
| No. 20       |

From

The President,

Bangalore City Municipality.

12.3.98  
The Secretary to the  
Govt of Mysore

Dated

Despatched 21 G.L. and Rev. Spts  
Bangalore

Received

March 98

General No. 196  
No.

Enclosure No.

Stating that B. Narahari

Row was also given a  
further opportunity of  
paying his arrears along  
with other defaulters but  
that he failed to avail  
himself of it

1868 24/3/98

No. 196

OFFICE OF THE MUNICIPAL COMMISSION,  
City Bangalore, 19<sup>th</sup> March 1898.

21

From

The President, Municipal Commission,  
Bangalore City.

To

The Secretary to the Government of Mysore,

General and Revenue Departments,

Bangalore.

SIR,

Adverting to your Docket No 11607  
d 7.108-96

Dated the 17<sup>th</sup> Instant, I have the  
honour to state that B Narahari  
Row was also given a further opportunity  
to pay his arrears along with other  
defaulters but he failed to avail  
himself of it

I have the honour to be

Sir

your Most Obedient Servant



PRESIDENT, MUNICIPAL COMMISSION,

BANGALORE, CITY.



No. 12392  
L. F. 108-96

Section Mund  
No. 21 of 96  
Serial No. 21

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE,  
LOCAL BOARDS BRANCH.

(15)

The

24/1898

R/L 2093

ENDORSEMENT granted to

B. Nanahari Rao

residing at No 21 Siddikatte Bangalore City

in reply to

his

Person Registered No.

1430-2974

dated 7 Nov.

1896

Petitioner is informed that his petition is rejected.  
as the price of the site is not given  
on basis of the reports received from the  
an abhorrent of having led to the suspension  
of the President. the Court find no reason to  
interfere in the matter and the Petition is  
rejected

the  
date  
of the

R/L 2094

No. 12393 24/4/98  
L.F. 108-96

By order,

Q

Secretary.

24/1898

Copy forwarded to the President, Bangalore City  
Municipality with reference to correspondence ending with the  
No 196 of 21.3.98

15/2 30/3/98  
Secretary